REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the very thorough consideration given

the present application.

Claims 1-20 are now present in this application. Claims 1, 8, 12, 17,

and 20 are independent.

Claims 1, 8, 12, 17, and 20 have been amended. Reconsideration of this

application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Drawings

The Examiner has not indicated whether or not the formal drawings have

been approved. Since no objection has been received, Applicant assumes that

the drawings are acceptable and that no further action is necessary.

Confirmation thereof in the next Office Action is respectfully requested.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1, 8, 11, 12, 17, and 20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

over U.S. Patent No. 5,784,039 to Yasui and the conventional art, in view of U.S.

Patent No. 5, 754,155 to Kubota et al., and

claims 2-7, 9-10, 13-16 and 18-19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasui, the conventional art, and Kubota et al., in view of Lee. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

While not conceding the appropriateness of the Examiner's rejection but merely to advance the prosecution of the present invention,

independent claims 1 and 20 are amended to include, inter alia, "simultaneously receiving the gate high voltage and the gate low voltage", and "the switching circuits in the at least one pair are connected by a current control resistor";

independent claim 12 is amended to include, *inter alia*, "a voltage controller for simultaneously receiving the gate high voltage and the gate low voltage", and "first and second switching circuits are connected by a current control resistor"; and

independent claims 8 and 17 are amended to include, *inter alia*, "a switching part for simultaneously receiving the gate high voltage and the gate low voltage", and "the switching part includes at least one pair of switching circuits which are connected by a current control resistor".

Arguments Regarding Deficiencies of Yasui

With regard to Yasui, the Examiner has admitted that Yasui fails to

expressly teach "...having the high and low voltages supplied simultaneously".

The Examiner relies on the Applicant's conventional art to make up for this

deficiency of Yasui.

The Examiner also admits that the combination of Yasui and the

Applicant's conventional art does not expressly teach that the voltage controller

is disposed between the power supply and the device driving circuit.

Examiner relies on Kubota et al. to make up for the deficiency of the combination

of Yasui and the Applicant's conventional art.

Arguments Regarding Deficiencies of Applicant's conventional art

As pointed out by the Applicant's in the Reply of August 20, 2004, the

Applicant has made no admission that Fig. 2 of the Applicant's disclosure

qualifies as statutory prior art.

As pointed out by the Applicant's in the Reply of August 20, 2004, the

Applicant's disclosure provides that "when a main power VDD is supplied to the

power block 10, the gate low voltage VGL and the gate high voltage VGH are

simultaneously output from the power block 10 as shown in Fig. 2." It indeed

appears that said voltages are output from the power block 10 (see Applicant's

Fig. 1). However, these voltages are not *both received* by a voltage controller,

Page 15 of 18

disposed between the power supply and the device driving circuit. As shown in

the Applicant's Fig. 1, VGL is not supplied to a voltage controller, but rather,

VGL is supplied to gate driver 6 (directly) from power block 10. In this instance,

simultaneously output does not translate into simultaneously received.

Therefore, neither Yasui, nor the Applicant's conventional art teaches or

suggests the combinations recited in independent claims 1, 8, 12, 17, and 20,

including simultaneously receiving the gate high voltage and the gate low voltage.

Arguments Regarding Deficiencies of Kubota et al.

The Examiner asserts that Kubota et al. (FIGS. 1 and 2) disclose power

supply circuit 11a and a voltage control circuit (the voltage generating circuit

12a and the current supply circuit 13) disposed between the power supply and

the driving circuit 3 for supplying the VGH and VGL to the driving circuit 3.

A careful review of Kubota et al. FIG. 2 merely discloses a power supply

circuit having a reference voltage generating circuit 12a including two circuit

TR(pix), each TR(pix) is directly connected to a buffer amplifier 14 of the current

supplying circuit 31a.

Nowhere in the Kubota et al. document is there any hint of two switching

circuits connected by a current control resistor (as set forth in each of

independent claims 1, 8, 12, 17, and 20 of the present invention).

Thus, neither the combination of Yasui and Applicant's conventional art,

nor the combination of Yasui, Applicant's conventional art, and Kubota et al.,

can suggest the present invention as set forth in independent claims 1, 8, 12,

17, and 20. Reconsideration and withdrawal of these art grounds of rejection

are respectfully requested.

With regard to dependent claims 2-7, 9-11, 13-16 and 18-19, Applicant

submits that claims 2-7, 9-11, 13-16 and 18-19 depend, either directly or

indirectly, from independent claims 1, 8, 12 and 17, which are allowable for the

reasons set forth above, and therefore, claims 2-7, 9-11, 13-16 and 18-19 are

allowable based on their dependence from claims 1, 8, 12 and 17, or due to the

novel features set forth therein. Reconsideration and allowance thereof are

respectfully requested.

Conclusion

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed,

accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that

the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding rejections and that they be

withdrawn. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the

outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition

for allowance.

Page 17 of 18

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will

expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone

Carl T. Thomsen, Registration No. 50,786, at (703) 205-8034, in the Washington,

D.C. area.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment is respectfully

requested.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.17 and 1.136(a), the Applicants respectfully

petition for a three (3) month extension of time for filing a response in

connection with the present application and the required fee of \$1,020.00 is

attached herewith.

Application No. 10/025,477 Response to Office Action of February 22, 2005 Attorney Docket No. 2658-0279P Art Unit: 2675

Page 18 of 18

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

August 15, 2005

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By:

Esther H. Chong

Reg. No.: 40,953

IC/CTT:trb P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

Telephone: (703)205-8000

EHC/CTT:trb